

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,144	06/22/2001	Erland Cassel	026125-076	7758
7590 09/21/2004			EXAMINER	
Ronald L. Grudziecki			WIMER, M	ICHAEL C
BURNS, DOA	NE, SWECKER & MA	ATHIS, L.L.P.		
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria VA 22313-1404			2828	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/887144				
7700			EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				09132004

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply brief filed June 7, 2004 has been entered and considered. The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.

> Michael C. Wimer **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit: 2828

AL 2821



Patent Attorney's Docket No. 026125-076

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

REPLY BRIEF TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER UNDER C.F.R. § 1.193(b)

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Communication Apparatus, and a)
Portable Communication Apparatus)

Comprising Such an Antenna

Sir:

This Reply Brief under 37 C.F.R. § 1.193(b) is submitted in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed April 5, 2004 (Paper No. 14).

Two extra copies of this brief are being filed herewith.

No fee is due for the Reply Brief. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. <u>02-4800</u>.

I. SUPPLEMENTAL ARGUMENTS

At the outset, the Appellants concur that claim 30 on page 2 of the Appendix of their Appeal Brief mailed October 14, 2003, contained a typographical error, and that on the last line of the claim, "he" should read --the--.

The Appellants respectfully acknowledge the Examiner's Answer, but continue to disagree with the Examiner's position for the reasons set forth in their Appeal Brief. In addition to those reasons, the Appellants believe the finally rejected claims of Group 2 are allowable over Kenoun for the following reasons.

Reply Brief noted money